

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/594,408	06/15/2000	Joey L. Erickson	33012/292/101	9110
75	90 07/30/2003			
Charles A Johnson			EXAMINER	
Unisys Corporation Law Department M S 4773 2470 Highcrest Road Rosenville, MN 55113			COLLINS, SCOTT M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2143	9,
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003	. 2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

À.

Application No.  09/594,408  Examiner  Art Unit  2143  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eathersom of time may be available under provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  The period for reply specified above is bess than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is bess than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is bess than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is bess than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is bess than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is bess than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period state paylication to be communication.  Fallow to reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period state paylication to be communication.  See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is on condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-	
Examiner Scott M. Collins  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  E idensines of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed after SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, exply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above, is he mainten thirty (30) days, exply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above, is he mainten above the set in the provisions of 37 GFR 1.704(b).  Falling price of the pric	
Scott M. Collins 2143  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after Six (6) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified aboves is less than thirty (30) darys, reply veiblin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) darys will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified aboves is less than thirty (30) darys, reply veiblin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) darys will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified aboves is less than thirty (30) darys and veil expire SIX (8) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or exhered period for reply will set period with the set of exhered period for reply will set under the supplication to become ABANDONED SIX. 9. S. 913.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any carried patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 June 2000.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are periodic and the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The proposed dr	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period vill apply and vill expire SIX (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply viithin the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ARA/CONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any camed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 June 2000.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) bjected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above, the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above, the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or advance prefor will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or advanced period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 June 2000.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  3) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Esthetics of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirly (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If INO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.) § 139.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seared patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 June 2000.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) is ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are rejected to are subjected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) cacepted or b objected to by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eatherisors of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply is specified above is best than thiny (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above is best than thiny (30) days, a reply within the statutory period vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the actor extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become RABADONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 June 2000.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.     If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ul> <li>11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.</li> <li>12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> </ul>	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
· ·	
a) □ All b) □ Same * c) □ None of:	
a) All b) Office of Note of	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)	٠.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2143

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-20 examined.

## Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 1 and 7 are objected to because of the following informalities: a delimiter "a." has been used without utilizing the delimiters "b.". Since there is only one delimited point, no delimiter is needed. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: a delimiter "d." has been used without utilizing the delimiters "a.", "b.", or "c.". Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 4, 5, 9, 10, 14, 15, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The version of each of these software packages (WebTX, ASP, VB, Windows, Windows NT) or the type of computer is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). Further, a patent is not allowed to increase in scope as time passes and excluding the version numbers of the software or the type of computer would allow the patent's scope to increase each time a new version of any of these software packages or computers is released.

Application/Control Number: 09/594,408 Page 3

Art Unit: 2143

7. Claims 4, 5, 9, 10, 14, 15, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Each of these claims claim a particular software package or computer without claiming the specific version number or type of computer.

8. Claims 4 and 9 contain the trademark/trade name WebTx. Claim 4 contain the trademark/trade name NT Server. Claims 10 and 20 contain the trademark/trade name Windows. Claim 14 contains the trademark/trade name Active Server Page. Claim 15 contains the trademark/trade name Visual Basic. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade names are used to identify/describe software packages and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an

Application/Control Number: 09/594,408

Art Unit: 2143

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

10. Claims 1-3, 6-8, and 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gebauer, U.S. Patent Number 6,370,588 B2 (herein Gebauer).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

- Referring to claim 1, Gebauer has taught a data processing system having a user terminal for entering a transaction request, wherein said transaction request has one of a plurality of formats, responsively coupled via a publicly available digital communication network to an enterprise server for responding to said transaction request, the improvement comprising a generic gateway interposed between said user terminal and said enterprise server which responsively couples said user terminal to said enterprise server (Gebauer figures 3-6, 9, 12).
- Referring to claim 2, Gebauer has taught the improvement further comprising a plurality of adapters interposed between said generic gateway and said user terminal which responsively couples said user terminal to said generic gateway wherein each one of said plurality of adapters corresponds to said one of said plurality of formats (Gebauer figure 4 and column 8, lines 4-36 where the clients request is adapted to use each service 70 and 72. Also, Gebauer figure 8 and column 12, lines 20-42 show the user's request being adapted based on the type of service.).

Application/Control Number: 09/594,408

Art Unit: 2143

- 13. Referring to claim 3, Gebauer has taught the improvement wherein said publicly available digital communication network further comprises the internet (Gebauer figure 9 and column 8, lines 7-12).
- 14. Claims 6-8 do not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claims 1-3 and are therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-3 above.
- 15. Claims 11 and 16 do not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in the combination of claims 1 and 2 and are therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1 and 2 above.
- Referring to claims 12 and 17, Gebauer has taught the method further comprising transferring said converted and processed service request from said generic gateway to an end service provider via a connector (Gebauer figure 4 and column 8, lines 4-36 where the clients request is adapted to use each service 70 and 72. Also, Gebauer figure 8 and column 12, lines 20-42 show the user's request being adapted based on the type of service. In both cases, once the request has been adapted (converted, processed) it is directed to the actual service provider via the connection between them.).
- 17. Claims 13 and 19 do not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claim 3 and are therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 3 above.
- 18. Referring to claim 14, Gebauer has taught the method wherein said one of said plurality of formats further comprises an active server page (Gebauer figure 4, elements 70 and 72).
- 19. Referring to claim 15, Gebauer has taught the method wherein said one of said plurality of formats further comprises visual basic (Gebauer column 8, lines 24-27).

Application/Control Number: 09/594,408

Art Unit: 2143

- 20. Referring to claim 18, Gebauer has taught an apparatus wherein said one of said plurality of adapters corresponds to said one of said plurality of connectors (Gebauer figure 4 where each adapter corresponds to the connector whereby the adapter is connected to the client.).
- 21. Claims 1-3, 6-8, 11-13, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ronen, U.S. Patent Number 5,845,267 (herein referred to as Ronen).
- 22. Referring to claim 1, Ronen has taught a data processing system having a user terminal for entering a transaction request, wherein said transaction request has one of a plurality of formats, responsively coupled via a publicly available digital communication network to an enterprise server for responding to said transaction request, the improvement comprising a generic gateway interposed between said user terminal and said enterprise server which responsively couples said user terminal to said enterprise server (Ronen figure 1 and column 5, line 43 column 6, line 9 where transaction server 121 acts as a gateway between user terminal 101 and server 126.).
- Referring to claim 2, Ronen has taught the improvement further comprising a plurality of adapters interposed between said generic gateway and said user terminal which responsively couples said user terminal to said generic gateway wherein each one of said plurality of adapters corresponds to said one of said plurality of formats (Ronen figure 1 and column 5, line 43 column 6, line 9 where the adapters are settings processed by the transaction server and passed on to the billing server to determine which services are rendered and how they are rendered.).
- 24. Referring to claim 3, Ronen has taught the improvement wherein said publicly available digital communication network further comprises the internet (Ronen figure 1 element 104).

Art Unit: 2143

- 25. Claims 6-8 do not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claims 1-3 and are therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-3 above.
- 26. Claims 11 and 16 do not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in the combination of claims 1 and 2 and are therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1 and 2 above.
- 27. Referring to claims 12 and 17, Ronen has taught the method further comprising transferring said converted and processed service request from said generic gateway to an end service provider via a connector (Ronen figure 1 and column 5, line 43 column 6, line 9 where the request is passed to the billing server (column 6, liens 10-13).).
- 28. Referring to claim 18, Ronen has taught an apparatus wherein said one of said plurality of adapters corresponds to said one of said plurality of connectors (Ronen figure 1 and column 5, line 43 column 6, line 13 where each adapter is located within the transaction server 121 and corresponds to the connector between the transaction server and the billing server).
- 29. Claims 13 and 19 do not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claim 3 and are therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 3 above.
- 30. Claims 1-13, 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Deisinger et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,397,220 B1 (herein Deisinger).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the

Application/Control Number: 09/594,408

Art Unit: 2143

inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

- Referring to claim 1, Deisinger has taught a data processing system having a user terminal for entering a transaction request, wherein said transaction request has one of a plurality of formats, responsively coupled via a publicly available digital communication network to an enterprise server for responding to said transaction request, the improvement comprising a generic gateway interposed between said user terminal and said enterprise server which responsively couples said user terminal to said enterprise server (Deisinger figure 1).
- Referring to claim 2, Deisinger has taught the improvement further comprising a plurality of adapters interposed between said generic gateway and said user terminal which responsively couples said user terminal to said generic gateway wherein each one of said plurality of adapters corresponds to said one of said plurality of formats (Deisinger figure 2, element 229 where the user's request is adapted based on the type of service.)
- Referring to claim 3, Deisinger has taught the improvement wherein said publicly available digital communication network further comprises the internet (Deisinger column 4, lines 42-43).
- Referring to claims 4 and 9, Deisinger has taught the improvement further comprising an NT Server housing said generic gateway and providing a WebTx environment (Deisinger figures 3 and 4 and column 6, lines 26-32).
- 35. Referring to claims 5, 10, and 20, Deisinger has taught the improvement wherein said user terminal further comprises an industry compatible personal computer operating under

Art Unit: 2143

Windows (Deisinger column 4, lines 40-46 where Windows is the standard operating system for a computer conventionally termed a "PC".)

- 36. Claims 6-8 do not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claims 1-3 and are therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-3 above.
- 37. Claims 11 and 16 do not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in the combination of claims 1 and 2 and are therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1 and 2 above.
- Referring to claims 12 and 17, Deisinger has taught the method further comprising transferring said converted and processed service request from said generic gateway to an end service provider via a connector (Deisinger figure 2 and column 5, lines 27-51 where the middleware adapts / converts / processes the requests and routes the it to the correct service provider via connections 225, 227, etc.).
- 39. Claims 13 and 19 do not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claim 3 and are therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 3 above.
- 40. Referring to claim 18, Deisinger has taught an apparatus wherein said one of said plurality of adapters corresponds to said one of said plurality of connectors (Deisinger figure 2 where the adapters in element 229 correspond to the connector (225, 227, etc.) that will route to the service provider.).

Art Unit: 2143

### Conclusion

41. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

a.	Sebesta et al.	U.S. Patent Number 6,324,681 B1
b.	Nolan	U.S. Patent Number 5,933,599
c.	Shah et al.	U.S. Patent Number 6,041,325
d.	Brunson et al.	U.S. Patent Number 6,038,296
e.	Sonera	WIPO Publication Number WO 01/95145 A1

f. "SOAP: Simple Object Access Protocol", MSDN Online Web Workshop,

http://msdn.microsoft.com/xml/general/soapspec.asp, April 26, 2000.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Collins whose telephone number is 703.305.7865. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00 am - 5:30 pm with alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on 703.308.5221. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.746.7239 for regular communications and 703.746.7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.305.3900.

smc July 26, 2003

DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2104